G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
CB 169-21-102
G.J. 218-14
G.J. 22-17-4,528 (CB)
G.J. 18-21-1(a),405
Later references.

1922

File No. 64-22
Serial Nos. 102

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 102

Part B for Oct. 1922

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. A. N. Kaslava Char, Sr. Asst. Auditor, Mysore Rys., praying that he may be allowed to retain Mr. K. R. K. Iyengar.

No. 1160 & 4.5.22

To the Comptr.

States that Govt. see no reason to revise the order already passed in the Case

WD 1888—GPB—16,626—23-7-21

Abdulla Khan Asst
5.10.22

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. B File No. 64 of 1922.

Serial No.	
1	From The Comptroller, No. 174/Rys & 24.8.22 Forwards a representation of Mr. A.N. Raghavachar, Senior Asst. Auditor, Mysore Rys, praying that he may be restored to his old relative rank above Mr. K.R. Krishnaswamiengar in the Civil List. Submitted, with references, for orders. Asst Sec 29.8.22 The Compt's letter dated 27th June 1921 to Mr K.R. Krishnaswami Iyengar shows that there is something to say, in support of Mr A.N. Raghavachar's Contention. But the Govt have passed the order ~~[illegible]~~ forming the subject of the present representation, subsequently and on Mr K.R.K. Iyengar's representing that the said letter of the Compt[r] does not indicate the Correct view. Chiefsec'y 30/8 To The Fl Secretary with compliments for favour of opinion 30/8/22 My opinion is on record in the reference file portion and I see no reason to change it. Chiefsec'y 1.9.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT 1 SEP 1922 360-22 JR.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

692

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B File No. 64 of 1922

Serial No.	
	Have we any reasons urged calling for revision of the orders already passed
	Secy / Depute the Chief Secy.
	Draft submitted. 22.9.22.
2	To the Comptroller to Govt: No 1460/C.B dy 24-9-1922. With reference to SL1 supra, states that Govt see no reason to revise the orders already passed in the case of Mr A. N. Raghavachar, Senior Hyde Auditor, Mysore Railways.

SECRETARIAT OFFICE
SEP 4 1922
No. 1540

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C.B. 692 3

Bangalore Dated 10th August 1922.

From

A.N. Raghavachar, Esq., M.A., M.C.S.,
Senior Assistant Auditor, Mysore Railways,
Bangalore.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through the Auditor, Mysore Railways, Bangalore and the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

.....

Subject.- Personal Representation of Mr. A.N. Raghavachar.

Sir,

With reference to Chief Secretary's D.O. No. C.B. 5786/149-21-2 dated 31st December 1921 to the Comptroller(copy forwarded to me with Comptroller's Memo No. R. 1238 dated 7th January 1922) stating that the Comptroller is requested to explain to me that my case and that of Mr. K.R. Krishnaswami Iyengar are not analogous and that - - Mr. Krishnaswami IYengar has chances of promotion in the Accounts Department while I have chances of promotion both in the Accounts Department and the General Line and that as such my relative position in the Accounts Department should follow the dates from which we drew pay, I beg to be permitted to approach Government most - - respectfully with the following facts to kindly restore me to my original position. -

1. Prior to February 1918, the scale of the Comptroller's Office was not divided into Civil Service and non-Civil Service appointments. The scale was an amalgamated one and against that scale I was confirmed as an Assistant Commissioner in 1917 and reckoned as a Permanent Assistant Comptroller as soon as I passed the Government of India Examination

Examination in Civil, Public Works and Railways in May 1917. Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar was then a junior to me as he was only holding the place of a permanent Chief Superintendent and of an Officiating Assistant Comptroller.

2. Subsequently in 1918 when Mr. Datta's scale was sanctioned for Comptroller's Office when the two sets of appointments were -- separated into Civil and Non-Civil service Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar was absorbed as Permanent Non-Civil Service Assistant Comptroller. on Rs. 250/- plus 10 Personal Allowance but even then our relative rank was kept and left undisturbed.

3. Later on Mr. Krishnaswami IYengar sent in a representation to the effect that he had lost monetarily by promotion to the higher grade and no question of relative rank was then raised or considered and Government were pleased to consider that as a solatium he should put in 18 months service before he drew his increment just to compensate the officer for the pecuniary loss he suffered by promotion to the higher grade and this had, unfortunately for me, the effect of making him draw higher emoluments two months earlier than myself. The question of relative rank was then neither considered nor raised nor recommended by the Comptroller nor decided by Government.

4. Further on Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengars representation so late as in 1921 Government were pleased to order that he should be shown above me in their Government Order No. F.1.1653-6/G.F.18-21-5 dated 10th September 1921 and against this order I sent in my representation with the result of the above D.O. from Chief Secretary to the Comptroller. The fact that I have chances of promotion in and outside Accounts Department does not necessarily involve the corollary that I should forego seniority acquired by me in the Department itself by taking myself keenly to work and study and Examination, for no fault of my own.

5. In this connection I may be permitted to point out that there are several instances in the Civil List of persons being given special high rates of pay for particular Departments who yet on the score of pay being high have not been permitted to supersede

their

S

their seniors in lower rates of pay in the substantive appointments. I have put in nearly nine years service (practically the whole) in the Account Department and have qualified myself by passing the Departmental Examinations and by work and feel for this supersession which, I venture to hope was quite unintentional on the part of the Comptroller and Government who, I feel sure, on kind consideration of the above facts, will set matters right and restore to me my old relative rank and direct that my name be shewn above that of Mr. K.R. Krishnaswami Iyengar in the Civil List.

15991

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Raghavachar

Senior Assistant Auditor.

CB. 6th
29 AUG 1922

C.B. 6th-25-1

CB-692

Forwarded for favorable consideration. My brother has been separated & Supertn. desire in my D.O. letter dated.

K. Krishnaswami Iyengar
Auditor, Treasuries

No. 174/Rp Dated 24th August, 1922.
Forwarded

T. Satyanarayana Rao
Comptroller

CB
29/8/22

6

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 24/9

Signed by .....

Section [illegible]

File No. [illegible]

Serial No 2

No. [illegible]

To

The Comptroller to Govt

Sir,

With reference to your memo no 1744 Rys. dated 24th Aug 1922 forwarding the representation of Mr. H. N. Raghavachar, Senior Asst. Auditor, Mysore Railways, praying that he may be restored to his old relative rank ~~as~~ Asst. Comptroller above Mr. K.R. Krishnaswami Iyengar, I am directed to state that Govt see no reason to revise the orders already passed

over.

7

In the case in G.O. no. Fl. 1653-6/4.7.

18-21-5 & 10.9.21 and Chief Secretary's

D.O. no. 3786/C.B. 149-21-2 & 31.12.21)

~~The Board's recommendation~~
~~He may be informed accordingly.~~

I have etc.

Chief Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by